

FRAUNHOFER RESEARCH INSTITUTION FOR ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGIES IAPT

# ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING SURFACE FINISHING STUDY

BENCHMARK OF SURFACE FINISHING PROCESSES FOR METAL AM COMPONENTS



# **SURFACE FINISHING STUDY**

### STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

#### **Current Situation**

- Which processes are suitable for our components?
- What are strengths and weaknesses of the processes?
- Which component properties are achievable?
- Which surface finishing is achievable?
- What are costs of the processes?

#### **Solution**

#### A study of the relevant surface finishing processes

- Objective comparison of different processes
- No expertise needed
- ➤ Quick decision on the most appropriate surface finishing processes for your component

## STUDY CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

Materials investigated Benchmark criteria

CONTACT FOR FREE EXCERPT

Order free excerpt of the study with benchmark results by

surface.finishing@iapt.fraunhofer.de

Titanium (Ti-6Al-4V)	Surface roughness	
Aluminium (AlSi10Mg)	Surface hardness	
Stainless Steel (1.4404)	Erosion rate	
	Edge rounding	Processes to be investigated
	Penetration depth	Machining with undefined cutting edge
	1	• Abrasive Blasting
		Vibratory Finishing
		Finishing with chemical additives
		<ul><li>Isotropic Superfinishing</li></ul>
		• Micro Machining Process (MMP)
	anning the same of	<ul> <li>Chemical Polishing</li> </ul>
		Finishing with electric power
		Electro Polishing
		Metal DryLyte
		Solidification with undefined cutting edge
		• Shot Peening
		More processes to be added
		in the future.
		A District Annual Annua
		" II